

January 29, 1975

everybody even though he may not have anything on the line on this bill, maybe next year, two months from now, you may have a bill in the same position and see all your work toppled because somebody just doesn't happen to like the way the committee has worked, what the Legislature has done in the past, this kind of thing. It's maybe your ox tomorrow and remember when you vote on this, I would hope.

PRESIDENT: The chair recognizes Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, it won't take me quite as long to say what I have to say on this matter. First of all, I don't think Senator Bereuter's request should be taken as an attack on any member of the committee, the chairman himself, or the committee as a whole because he stated clearly what his purpose was and why he wanted the bill shifted. So I think if the argument, by any means, is shifted to that idea of it being an attack on the integrity of the committee, I think there is a misunderstanding of what I understood Senator Bereuter to say. Whenever an individual in this body goes through one procedure of the Legislature with reference to how a committee operates, the final point of appeal is to the entire body. So offering the Legislature arguments in support of a move to reverse a decision by the Reference Committee is not an illegitimate procedure. Perhaps if the outcome were successful from the standpoint of Senator Bereuter, it would make some people on the Reference Committee or the Agriculture Committee unhappy but they cannot derogate the entire system of allowing this process of appeal just because it is on an issue that they don't particularly approve of. So even if Senator Bereuter's motion should prevail and the bill should be shifted, this is not the first time that a bill has been shifted from another committee. It is not the first time that a motion such as this has been brought before the body and it's not the last time. We should look at the Reference Committee and see if any members of the Agriculture Committee sit on the Reference Committee and, perhaps, that can explain why the decision went in the way that it did. But if you go before any committee and don't like the outcome of its deliberations, you have the right to bring it to the floor of the Legislature. If I listened and heard correctly, I think Senator Bereuter said his bill, as he understands it, deals with governmental reorganization of a sort and it happens that the subject matter it is dealing with is land-use. So I don't think he said it has nothing to do with land-use at all. The thrust of it is other than simply and merely and strictly land-use. I can understand Senator Schmit saying that he has pride in his committee members and his committee. I once was in a position to say the same thing and I think with greater justification. Joke. But, nevertheless, when we deal with a serious issue that's very close to somebody's interest, we know that that person is going to push as hard as he or she can to put that issue in a position to prevail. So if the body agrees to shift this bill from the Agriculture Committee, I don't think it can be construed as an insult to Senator Schmit. I think that you know that I have high regard for you but by the same token I have an even higher regard for procedure that allows an individual to get as fair a hearing as he or she thinks is available. If it is felt that the Agriculture Committee is not the proper forum or not the most suitable forum without reference to the integrity of anybody on the Committee, that member feeling that way has the right